



https://doi.org/10.37855/jah.2021.v23i03.58

Awareness and adoption level of improved practices by pear growers of Tarn Taran district

S. Khehra* and P. Kaur

Punjab Agricultural University, Farm Advisory Service Centre, Tarn Taran-143401, India. *E-mail: savreetkhehra@pau.edu

Abstract

Pear is one of the most important fruit crops of the temperate region. However, in Punjab, a low chill variety Patharnakh, has been widely cultivated and become the commercial fruit crop. The present investigation was conducted to assess the awareness and adoption of recommended pear production practices to narrow the adoption gaps so as to achieve sustainable production of quality fruits in Tarn Taran district to evaluate the effect of different technology variables on the awareness score and adoption level of recommended package of practices for pear. The study showed that the respondents along with awareness adopted some of the recommended practices for pear cultivation on priority such as timely irrigation to the plants [Awareness score (As) = 1.0; adoption level score (Al) =2.6], use of FYM along with chemical fertilizers and timely pruning and training of the fruit plants having good awareness and adoption values. However, they were moderate in terms of weed management (As = 0.58; Al =1.26) and practice of proper packaging and marketing of fruits (As = 0.56; Al =1.20). On the other side, management of mite (As = 0.06; Al =0.10) followed by use of micronutrients as balanced nutrition (As = 0.10; Al =0.22), use of Bordeaux mixture for sap wood and root rot and use of potassium nitrate (KNO₃) for better yield were practices with least awareness and adoption values. The results indicated that, a mere awareness of technology does not lead to adoption. This gap can be bridged by imparting practical know-how with hands-on training to pear growers in the niche area.

Key words: Pear, adoption level, improved practices, practical know-how

Introduction

Pear (*Pyrus pyrifolia*), a member of Rosaceae family is next to apple in terms of acreage and production. In India, pear is mainly grown in the hills of Jammu and Kashmir, Punjab, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand and Haryana. Even being a temperate fruit crop, its cultivation is expanded to the sub-tropical regions because of its highly adaptability and availability of low chill cultivars. The optimum temperature needed for growth and development of pear is 25 to 30 °C. In Punjab, it can be grown on an area of 3440 ha (Anonymous, 2020) in Amritsar, Tarn Taran, Gurdaspur, Hoshiarpur, Jalandhar and Patiala districts; however, the maximum area under cultivation is situated in Tarn Taran district and almost 40 % of the total area in the state is confined in this region (Meena *et al.*, 2017).

Farmer's awareness about the technical aspects of the recommended technology is the key component of increasing level of adoption. Adoption occurs only when there is awareness about the particular technology, whether; it is fully, partial or least adopted by the growers (Kinyangi, 2014 and Baumgart-Getz *et al.*, 2012). However, it is also very transparent *i.e.*, that mere awareness of technology does not lead to adoption. Hence, despite of being aware of utility or significance of the technology, it was not fully adopted resulting in the gap between awareness and adoption (Singh and Priyadarshi, 2010; Choudhary and Banarva, 2011) which might be due to lack of hands on training.

It has been observed that due to poor linkages between research and extension, inappropriate extension approaches results in low adoption of technology (Kumar *et al.*, 2017). Even among farmers, there is a great variation in their levels of knowledge, as well as their readiness to accept, try new methods and adopt improved production practices. Some need more time to grasp and get convinced and; hence, need a longer sustained support from extension agencies including state Department of Horticulture, Krishi Vigyan Kendras, Farm Advisory Service Centres *etc.* ,Hence, dissemination of knowledge about recommended practices and demonstration of technologies for their expected gains is an important component to increase the rate of adoption level. Conventional technology transfer model is a one way (topdown) approach where growers remain simply a passive recipient of the technology. But now getting feedback from farmers have inverted this model making it two ways, also from down to top.

The pear growers in this district are more advanced in terms of technology awareness and its adoption as compared to any other pear growing areas of the state. There exists a wide productivity and adoption gap that needs to be bridged. Hence, considering the present situation, finding out the level of awareness and extent of adoption of the technology besides various factors influencing adoption formed the basis of this study. Keeping in view, the importance of pear fruit for economy of Punjab, various efforts have been done in the past from the Horticulture and Extension Departments for the dissemination of recommended practices to enhance the pear production; however the examination of the awareness and adoption of these practices needs to be evaluated. The present study was therefore planned to access the awareness and adoption level of farmers regarding recommended production practices and to suggest research and extension measures for improving the adoption rate of these practices among pear growers.

Materials and methods

Study area: Tarn Taran district is located in N-W India which further extends from 31° 05', and 31° 30' 05" N latitude and 74° 30' (India-Pakistan boundary) and 75' 15' 05" E longitudes. The district is situated in alluvial plains and covers an area of 2583 sq.km. It has boundaries with Amritsar district in the North, Kapurthala district in the East, Pakistan in the West, and Firozpur district in the South. The district is divided into 8 Blocks namely Gandiwind, Bhikiwind, Tarn Taran, Khadur Sahib, Naushera Pannuan, Chohla Sahib, Patti and Valtoha. Pear is the major fruit crop with maximum cultivation in Patti Block. Tarn Taran has tropical steppe, semi-arid and hot climate generally loaded with dryness except for a short period during southwest monsoon season. A series of western disturbances affect the climate in the cold season. The average annual rainfall of 545 mm is unevenly distributed in the district.

Methodology: The study was conducted in all the 8 Blocks of the district. A list of pear growers was prepared to analyze the adoption of technology by making the total sample size of 50 pear growers with 17 growers from Patti Block only. For the collection of data, the structured interview schedule and information on selected technology package and questionnaire was used to elicit the responses. The standard scales and procedures were followed. The responders were asked to answer about awareness of recommended pear practices as 'yes' or 'no' in the form of scale 1 and 0 and the adoption on the three point scale viz., full, partial and least as 3, 2 and 1, respectively. Extent of adoption was calculated on the basis of these scores. A statistical analysis was performed by using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS 16). The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate the effect of different technology variables on the awareness score and adoption level by the pear growers. The random sampling was used along personal observations. The major thrust of study was the determination of pear growers existing level of adoption towards recommended orchard practices.

Results and discussion

The data in Table 1 revealed that the 45.24 per cent pear growers applied FYM along with inorganic fertilizers and almost same percent of respondents had the partial adoption. These findings could be attributed to farmers' lack of knowledge regarding the timing and method of application of FYM and fertilizers (Meena et al., 2017). Insecticide technology was adopted by 36.36 percent of pear growers, who were also aware of the developed technology. Approximately 40% of those queried fully adopted and applied micronutrients to correct deficiencies in their orchards. Annually, approximately 47.37 percent of growers who had knowledge or awareness of the practise fully adopted canopy management practises; however, only 29.41 percent of growers fully adopted training-pruning practises to develop better tree canopy and architecture. The majority of growers (62%) used timely irrigation techniques during the critical fruit development period to improve fruit quality and yield. These results were corroborated with the findings of Yadav and Khan (2012) and Yadav et al. (2013). Shah et al. (2017) observed that the practices which were simple and were traditionally practiced were known to majority of the farmers. The practices like management of mite, fruit fly and bark eating

 Table 1. Farmer's awareness and adoption level about recommended

 cultivation practices of pear (N=50)

Use of FYM along with chemical fertilizers42Full1945.24Least0409.52Use of insecticides for insect pest management33Full1236.36Least0515.15Use of micronutrients for balance nutrition05Full0240.00Partial0120.00
Use of FYM along with chemical fertilizers42Full1945.24Partial1945.24Least0409.52Use of insecticides for insect pest management33Full1236.36Partial1648.49Least0515.15Use of micronutrients for balance nutrition05Full0240.00Partial0120.00
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{chemical fertilizers} \\ \mbox{Use of insecticides for} \\ \mbox{Use of micronutrients for} \\ \mbox{Use of micronutrients for} \\ \mbox{bed balance nutrition} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Partial} \\ \mbox{Partial} \\ \mbox{H} \\ \mbox{Least} \\ \mbox{H} \\ \mbox{H} \\ \mbox{H} \\ \mbox{Least} \\ \mbox{H} \\ $
Least0409.52Use of insecticides for33Full1236.36insect pest managementPartial1648.49Least0515.15Use of micronutrients for05Full0240.00balance nutritionPartial0120.00
Use of insecticides for insect pest management33Full Partial1236.36Bartial Least1648.49Least0515.15Use of micronutrients for balance nutrition05Full0240.00Data of the partial0120.00
insect pest management Partial 16 48.49 Least 05 15.15 Use of micronutrients for 05 Full 02 40.00 balance nutrition Partial 01 20.00
Least0515.15Use of micronutrients for05Full0240.00balance nutritionPartial0120.00
Use of micronutrients for05Full0240.00balance nutritionPartial0120.00
balance nutrition Partial 01 20.00
Least 02 40.00
Pruning 38 Full 18 47.37
Partial 12 31.58
Least 08 21.05
Training 34 Full 10 29.41
Partial 17 50.00
Least 07 20.59
Weed management 29 Full 12 41.38
Partial 13 44.83
Least 04 13.79
Timely irrigation 50 Full 31 62.00
Partial 15 30.00
Least 04 08.00
Intercropping by avoiding 32 Full 15 46.88
Berseem Partial 11 34 37
Least 06 18.75
Potassium nitrate spray 1.5 07 Full 02 28.57
% for increase in vield Partial 02 28.57
Least 03 42.86
Management of fruit fly 09 Full 05 55.56
with fruit fly trap Partial 02 22.22
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Least} & 02 & 22.22 \\ \text{Least} & 02 & 22.22 \end{array}$
Bark eating caterpillar 08 Full 04 50.00
control by kerosene oil Partial 03 37.50
Least 01 12.50
Management of mite by 03 Full 00 00 00
less irrigation and removal Partial 01 33 34
of Arind and Bhang Least 02 66 66
Use of Bordeaux mixture 06 Full 02 33.33
for sap wood and root rot Partial 03 50.00
Least 01 16.67
Use of Bordeaux paint for 08 Full 03 37.50
bark canker Partial 02 25 00
$\begin{array}{c} \text{I uniful } \\ \text{I east} \\ 03 \\ 3750 \end{array}$
Soil sampling 09 Full 05 55.56
Partial 02 22.20
I attai 02 22.22 Least 02 22.22
Packing and marketing 28 Full 13 46.42
Partial 12 12 10
Least 03 10.71

caterpillar, application of Bordeaux mixture and paint along with potassium nitrate and micronutrient sprays were not taken on the priority. It might be due to the reason that the growers are reluctant to perform the tasks which need as described by Chavan and Katole (2013) and Meena *et al.* (2017).

The data in Table 2 shows the average awareness score (As) and average adoption level score (Al) of the pear growers on various recommended practices for pear cultivation. The findings clearly show that the respondents were not only aware but also adopted some of the recommended practices for pear cultivation on priority such as timely irrigation to the plants (As = 1.0; Al =2.6), use of FYM along with chemical fertilizers, timely pruning and training of the fruit plants. The respondents were found to be moderately aware

and adopted recommended practices for weed management and practice of proper packaging and marketing of fruits. However, there were some recommended practices to which the growers were found to be least aware and least adopted these practices for pear cultivation such as management of mite (As = 0.06; Al =0.10) followed by use of micronutrients as balanced nutrition, use of Bordeaux mixture for sap wood and root rot, use of KNO₃ for better yield. The results of study are also supported by previous findings of Abbas *et al.* (2017) who elucidated that there might be constraints like age, literacy and reluctance to acceptance of technology. Similar findings were sustained by Kumar *et al.* (2015).

Table 2. Awareness and adoption level of different technologies

Technology	Awareness	Adoption
	Score	Level Score
	(AS)	(AL)
Use of FYM along with chemical	0.84	1.98
fertilizers		
Use of insecticides for insect pest	0.66	1.42
management		
Use of micronutrients for balance	0.10	0.22
nutrition		
Pruning	0.76	1.74
Training	0.68	1.42
Weed management	0.58	1.26
Timely irrigation	1.00	2.60
Intercropping by avoiding berseem	0.64	1.40
Potassium nitrate spray 1.5 % for	0.14	0.28
increase in yield		
Management of fruit fly with fruit fly trap	0.18	0.40
Bark eating caterpillar control by	0.16	0.34
Kerosene oil		
Management of mite by less irrigation	0.06	0.10
and removal of arhind and bhang		
Use of Bordeaux mixture for sap wood	0.12	0.24
and root rot		
Use of Bordeaux paint for bark canker	0.16	0.30
Soil sampling	0.18	0.32
Packing and marketing	0.56	1.20
F-Ratio	32.40	32.74
Significance	P<0.001	P<0.001

It is evidentif from Table 3 that Department of Horticulture (32 %), Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana and Farm Advisory Service Centre, Tarn Taran (26 %) were most preferred source of seeking information about the improved technology for the pear growers in district Tarn Taran. These results are in line with earlier finding of Anavrat (2008). The pear growers don't pay much attention towards literature regarding improved practices and don't listen to radio and TV programmes regarding pear orchard management systems. Thus, information lag and ignorance led to partial adoption.

Table 3. Source of information for pear growers about pear cultivation technologies

Source of information	Total Score	Per cent
Department of Horticulture	16	32
PAU, Ludhiana and FASC, Tarn Taran	13	26
KVK Booh	6	12
TV programme	5	10
Kisan Melas	4	8
Radio programme	3	6
Literature	3	6

It can be concluded that in district Tarn Taran, the extent of adoption of recommended practices for pear cultivation was at medium level by the pear growers. The study has clearly indicated the levels of awareness and adoption of practices in terms of fully, partially and least adopted by the growers. The examination of the awareness and adoption of recommended production practices like management of mite, fruit fly and bark eating caterpillar, application of bordeaux mixture and paint along with potassium nitrate and micronutrient sprays needs to be evaluated precisely. Therefore, participatory approach of research-extension may be beneficial to take the technology from literature to the orchards. Hands-on training on pear orchard management can have positive impact on adoption of management practices.

Acknowledgement

FASC, Tarn Taran fully acknowledges the help/support/ cooperation received from the pear growers of Tarn Taran district while interacting during the time of survey.

References

- Abbas, M., I. Mahmood, A. Bashir, T. Mehmood, K. Mahmood and Z. Ikram, 2017. Factors affecting adoption of recommended citrus production practices in the Punjab. *Pakistan J. Agric. Res.*, 30(2): 202-208.
- Anavrat, V. 2008. Factors influencing adoption of improved practices by Nagpur Mandarin Growers. NRC for Citrus, Nagpur. National Symposium on Citriculture: Emerging Trends, Proc. 24-26, Jul. 2008: 162-164.
- Anonymous, 2020. Package of Practices for Fruit Crops. PAU, Ludhiana, India. pp: 1.
- Baumgart-Getz, A., L.S. Prokopy and K. Floress, 2012. Why farmers adopt best management practice in the United States: a meta-analysis of the adoption literature. J. Envir. Mangt., 96: 17-25.
- Chavan, S. and R.T. Katole, 2013. Correlates of adoption behaviour of Kagzi lime grower in western Vidarbha of Maharashtra state. *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, 2(9): 2277-8179.
- Choudhary, H. and G.S. Banarva, 2011. Adoption of recommended Kinnow production technology. *Rajas. J. Ext. Edu.*, 19: 190-193.
- Kinyangi, A.A., 2014. Factors influencing the adoption of agricultural technology among small holder farmers in Kakamega north subcounty. Kenya: University of Nairobi.
- Kumar, P., P.S. Shehrawat and M. Khan, 2017. Adoption level of masumbi (*Citrus sinensis*) growers and its relationship with their personality traits in Haryana, India. *Asian J. Agric. Ext.*, 16(3): 1-6.
- Kumar, R., A. Ahmad and R.K. Dular, 2015. Knowledge and adoption of improved grape cultivation practices in Haryana, India. *Agric. Sci. Digest*, 35(1): 31-35.
- Meena, R.R., K.L. Geanger, B.L. Meena, P. Bhatnagar and P.L. Meena, 2017. Analysis of adoption and constraint perceived by mandarin growers in Jhalawar district of Rajasthan state, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 6(4): 1465-1470
- Shah, Z.A., R. Singh, M.A. Dar, R. Mir, J.M. Matoo and M.A. Beigh, 2017. An analysis of knowledge level of farmers of recommended apple cultivation practices in district Shopian of Jammu and Kashmir India. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud., 5(6): 867-871.
- Singh, K.V. and A. Priyadarshi, 2010. Extent of adoption of improved practices of mango production by mango growers. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.*, 10(3): 107-113.
- Yadav, B.S. and I.M. Khan, 2012. Adoption of improved cultivation practices of cauliflower. *Ind. J. Soc. Res.*, 53(3): 191-197.
- Yadav, B.C., R. Choudhary and P.L. Saran, 2013. Adoption of improved production technology of mandarin in Rajasthan, India: A review. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.*, 8(49): 6590-6600.
 - Received: December, 2020; Revised: March, 2021; Accepted: April, 2021

Journal of Applied Horticulture (www.horticultureresearch.net)